Client's Name:	Date of Birth:	Sex:
Mailing Address:	Referred By:	
County of Residence:	Telephone Number:	
Initial Referral Date:	Allegation: □ Abuse □ Neglect □ Self Negle	ect □Exploitation

Scoring: In each section of Client Factors listed below, select the description (1, 2, 3) that best fits the client. Transfer the number for that description to the scoring column on the far left. An overall risk assessment score will be determined at the beginning of a case and again prior to closing the case. 1 represents No/Low Risk, 2 represents Intermediate Risk and 3 represents High Risk.

represents H		gain prior to closing the case. 1 represents No/Low Risk, 2 represents intermediate Risk and 3				
INITIAL CONTACT	FOLLOW UP	I. CLIENT FACTORS				
		A. Client Age/Sex 1) 18-64 Female, 18-74 Male 2) 65-74 Female 3) 75+ Male or Female				
		 B. Physical Health and ADL's 1) Ambulatory; minimal physical disability; capable of meeting all ADL's 2) Moderate physical disability; difficulty ambulating (requires prosthesis - cane, walker, etc.); hands-on assistance to be ambulatory; occasionally non-ambulatory, needs help with 1 or 2 ADL's. 3) Severe and functionally limiting disability; bedridden, completely dependent on others; uncontrolled or debilitating chronic disease; deteriorating functional ability; needs help with all ADL's. 				
		ADL's = eating, dressing, bathing, toileting, personal hygiene, mobility, using telephone, shopping for food and clothing, meal preparation, housework, laundry, transportation, taking care of financial affairs.				
		 C. Mental and Emotional Health and Substance Abuse None; minimal/controlled mental or emotional disability; no indication of substance abuse history. Moderate dementia; impaired reasoning capability; decompensated mental illness; capacity to consent fluctuates or is questionable; periodic episodes of substance abuse (specify type:				
		*A score of 3 requires a capacity screening form. Question to help determine capacity should reveal if client is oriented to person, place and time. Also consider: Does client understand the situation?				
		D. Acceptance of Services 1) Client is willing to accept treatment of services. 2) Client resists needed treatment or services. 3) Client refuses needed treatment or services.				
		 E. Financial Resources 1) Sufficient finances that enable the client to provide for necessities; financially independent from others. 2) Semi-dependent on others financially; barely capable of providing for necessities. 3) Completely dependent on others; unable/unwilling to provide for his/her own necessities: 				
Initial Score	Subtotal:	Follow-up Score Subtotal:				

INITIAL CONTACT	FOLLOW UP	II. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS			
		 A. Appropriateness of Shelter 1) No structural problems identified; shelter appropriate for client circumstances. 2) Safety or structural problems identified tht pose some degree of risk. 3) Client living in a structurally deficient or condemned home; fluctuating shelter arrangements; gross safety problems; homeless. 			
		B Appropriate Environment for the Client 1) Environment appropriate for client health and safety. 2) Shelter poses some health and safety problems. 3) Shelter poses special problems such as location; lack of one or more utilities; significant safety issues; client is homeless.			
		Cleanliness of Shelter 1) Shelter meets reasonable standards of cleanliness; trash is not exposed; no odors present. 2) Trash and garbage not disposed of; cluttered; strong odors; numerous pets; some evidence of pest, insect infectation, urine or feces present; confined pets; hoarding behavior evident; trails/paths in home; client homeless.			
Initial Score	Subtotal:	Follow-up Score Subtotal:			

INITIAL CONTACT	FOLLOW UP	III. SUPPORT SERVICES FACTORS			
		 Availability and accessibility of services and transportation Adequate community resources available; client able to leave residence on a regular basis; transportation available when needed-client able to access. Limited services available; public transportation is unavailable; private transportation is problematic and unreliable. Isolated from community services; services inaccessible or not available or refused; transportation services not available without assistance. 			
		 Support Network Family or others available, able and willing to provide or arrange needed services; is receiving services. Support available but not in geographical area; support provided is irregular in quantity and/or frequency' limited knowledge of available resources. Client is socially isolated; no knowledge of formal support system; unable or unwilling to access available resources. 			
Initial Score	Subtotal:	Follow-up Score Subtotal:			

INITIAL CONTACT	FOLLOW UP	IV. CURRENT AND HISTORICAL FACTORS			
		 A. Severity of Physical or Psychological Abuse 1) No noticeable injuries to bony body parts (i.e. knees, elbows); no noticeable adverse psychological effect on the client. 2) Minor or unexplained injuries; pattern demonstrates increasing severity of abuse; client is evidencing some adverse psychological effects of abuse (fear, anger, withdrawal, denial, and depression). 3) The client requires immediate medical treatment; any type of sexual abuse; increasing pattern or frequency of injuries or abuse; client shows signs of psychological effects of abuse. 			
		 Frequency and Severity of Exploitation of Client or Client Property None identified; exploitation identified on too small a scale to impact client's health, safety or well being. Consistent pattern of exploitation identified which could deplete the estate or threaten the health, safety or well being of the client. Exploitation which threatens the health, safety or well being of the client; deprives the client of the necessities of life; any methodical misuse of client's resources; non-payment of expenses incurred by client (eviction, or cut off notices). 			
		 C. Severity of Neglect (Self or Others) 1) None identified; neglect with little risk to the client. 2) Deprivation of sufficient supervision; deprivation of basic daily needs, i.e. food, shelter, medical care, etc. 3) The client requires immediate intervention; the client is at risk of death or serious harm for lack of sufficient supervision or care. 			
		 D. Quality of Care (Self or Others) 1) Client and/or caregiver are well informed, responsible, and provide the care necessary. 2) Client and/or caregiver provide care with limited knowledge and skills for the level or responsibility required and this may contribute to risk. 3) Client is at risk due to self/caregiver irresponsibility; lack of knowledge, skills and abilities; chooses to receive substandard care from self or others. 			
		 E. History of Abuse, Neglect (self or other) or Exploitation 1) No known history of abuse, neglect or exploitation. 2) Any previous report of abuse, neglect or exploitation. 3) On-going history or pattern of increasing frequency of abuse, neglect, or exploitation; an previous report that led to prosecution. 			
Initial Score	Subtotal:	Follow-up Score Subtotal:			

ND Department of Human Services/Aging Services

SFN 1267 (06-2	003) Page 4						
CAREGIVER	NAME:						
Address:		City: State: Telephone Number:					
Relationship	to Vulnerable	Adı	ult:				
INITIAL CONTACT	FOLLOW UP			V. CAREGIVER/	PERPETRATOR	R FAC	CTORS
			 A. Access to the Client 1) Never or rarely alone with the client; client has regular contact with others in or out of household; multiple shifts of caregivers. 2) Sporadic presence of others in the home; limited opportunity to be alone with the client. 3) Unrestricted access to the client; has 24-hour care responsibilities; client isolated and dependent on caregiver for total care. B. Coping Skills to Life Crisis 1) Realistically adapts and adjusts to life crises. 2) Difficult or inappropriate or unrealistic adjustment to life crises i.e. frustration, fatigue, depression, anger; caregiver socially isolated. 3) Overreaction or highly inappropriate reaction to investigation; alleged perpetrator not family 				
			Physical Health of Ca 1) Good health; mini 2) Physical handicap controlled chronic 3) Severe and functi deterioration of ph Caregiver/Perpetrator 1) No emotional diffi	mal and controlled por episodic physical or illness. onally limiting physical health. Mental and Emotion culties identified; reactions	ohysical difficulti difficulties; poor cal disability; chr nal Health alistic expectatic	ies. healt ronic	h; poorly compensated or or uncontrolled disease; recent, the client; can problem solve.
			the client; somew 3) Severe and functi unresponsive to the institutionalization funds or resource Caregiver/Prepetrator 1) No problems iden 2) Client protects the minimizes situation 3) Client has a need caregiver/perpetrate client doesn't have	hat unresponsive to onally limiting mentane client's needs; until caregiver has deversed for benefit of other and Victim Dynamitified related to allege alleged perpetrators. To protect the perpetator is one that allowe the cognitive skills	the client; use of all disability; histon prepared for caselopmental disabras. cs gations. r by making exceptrator; the relatives the victim to to a necessary to identify the second control of the co	client's ory of regivi bility of uses onshi olerat	ies; unrealistic expectations of s resources to buy drugs/alcohol. chronic or mental illness; ing role; threatens clients with or mental illness; is using clients or for the behavior; client denies or p between the victim and the te abuse, neglect or exploitation; or recognize the situation.
		F.	needs of the clien has contact with of 2) Reluctantly coope 3) Refuses to coope	blem; works with the t; unable to locatae client. erates with the asses	e VAPS worker to alleged perpetra essment; coopera esment; does not	ator a ation o believ	olve problems and better meet the nd/or alleged perpetrator no longer contingent on outside influences. We there is a problem that needs lews.
		G.	 Financial Dependency Financially independency Cares for the viction support; indication The perpetrator is 	y on the Victim endent of the victim. m due to financial n n of opportunistic be	eed; client is res havior is recogn ent on the victim	spons nized. ; histo	ible for providing supplemental
		H. History of Substance Abuse 1) No history of identified. 2) Episodic history of substance abuse, specify type 3) History of chronic substance abuse, specify type					
Initial Score Subtotal: Follow-up Score Subtotal:							

ND Department of Human Services/Aging Services SFN 1267 (06-2003) Page 5

01 N 1207 (00-2003)1 age 3				
Action Taken: ☐ No services/actions needed ☐ Service plan offered but refused ☐ Service plan completed ☐ Referral to another agency ☐ Other	Case Termination: ☐ Client refused services ☐ Client moved out of area, institutionalized or died ☐ No resources or services available or inadequate services ☐ Service plan goals met ☐ Other			
Worker Comments:				
		T		
Worker Signature:		Date:		
Instructions: Assess the level of risk for the client in every eler a subtotal for each set of factors. For elements in which the clicient is at intermediate risk, assign as score of 2. And so on. If 0. Add all the scores to arrive at a subtotal for each set of fact record the total score in the blank provided. Determine the level on follow-up and/or when considering case closure. Unless the not be closed as long as a client continues to score at High Risk	ient is at low risk, assign a score there is no caregiver or alleged tors. Transfer the subtotals to the lof risk by consulting the scale greare very unusual, documente	e of 1; for elements in which the perpetrator, score the section as ne scoring grid on this page and iven below. Repeat the process		
SUMMARY OF SCORES:				
Add Subtotal Scores:	Initial Contact	Follow-Up		
Client Factors				
Environmental Factors				
Transportation/Support Services Factors				
Current and Historical Factors				
Perpetrator Factors				
TOTAL SCORE:				
Range of Scores for Risk Assessment:				
Self Neglect	Caregiver/Perpetrator	Level of Risk		
15 - 18	23 - 26	No/Low Risk		
19 - 22	27 - 30	Intermediate Risk		
23 - 45	31 - 69	High Risk		

Scoring Implications

Overall Assessment of Risk for this situation =

Assessment of Risk at follow-up =

An overall no risk/low risk score indicates the situation has a low likelihood of reoccurring.

An overall **medium/intermediate risk** score indicates that the situation may continue or possible escalate.

An overall **high-risk score indicates** the situation will very likely continue and probably escalate.